



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON**

**ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION
MEDIATOR SURVEY**

Case Information

Case Name: _____ Case Number: _____

Name of Mediator: _____ Date of Mediation: _____

Counsel and Parties

Please respond to each question below:

1. How were you contacted to mediate this case?

Selected by counsel/parties following an order of the Court referring the case to mediation (Court-sponsored mediation).

Privately selected by counsel/parties and not following an order of the Court referring the matter pursuant to LR 16-4(e)(3) (Private ADR).

2. Did you require a pre-mediation submission? Yes No

If yes, did you get one in this case? Yes No

3. Was the mediation attended by an individual(s) with full settlement authority?
Yes Partially No

Mediation Process

1. How many hours did you spend preparing for the mediation? _____

2. At what stage in the case did mediation occur? (check one):

Prior to the Rule 16 conference

After the Rule 16 conference but before the completion of discovery

After completion of discovery but before dispositive motions were filed

After the filing of dispositive motions but before ruling(s)

Following the ruling on a dispositive motion(s)

3. How many hours did you spend conducting the mediation? _____

4. In your opinion, was the timing of the mediation appropriate? Yes No

If no, briefly explain:

In your opinion, was mediation the appropriate form of ADR selected for this case?

Yes No

If no, briefly explain:

Outcome of Mediation

1. Was an agreement between the parties reached during mediation?

Yes No

2. Was an agreement reached after the mediation was concluded?

Yes No Unknown

Agreement/Settlement

If an agreement was reached, was it to:

All of the issues

Some of the issues

No Agreement/No Settlement

If an agreement was not reached at mediation, please rate the following statements by selecting a number 1 thru 5, with 1 meaning that you agree completely with the statement, 3 being neutral, and 5 being that you do not agree with the statement.

	Agree		Neutral		Disagree
	1	2	3	4	5
Mediation helped to narrow the monetary differences.					
Mediation helped to narrow the issues.					
Mediation helped to identify the parties' strengths and weaknesses.					
Mediation improved the parties' relationship.					
Mediation prompted the early exchange of documents.					
Mediation allowed the parties to explore resolutions that the Court could not otherwise offer.					

Other Comments

Please use this section to provide additional feedback that may be useful to the Clerk's Office in evaluating and improving its ADR Program:

Survey Submission

Please return this survey to the Clerk's Office, Attention: Nicole Munoz, by e-mail to nicole_munoz@ord.uscourts.gov, or by regular mail or delivery to the U.S. District Court, 1000 S.W. Third Ave., Ste. 740, Portland, OR 97204.